

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The non-final Office Action dated September 19, 2008, has been carefully reviewed and the following remarks and comments are responsive thereto. Claims 1, 4, 9, 10, 13 and 14 have been amended and claims 25-27 have been added. No new matter has been added. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-15, 19-22, and 24-27 are pending in this application upon entry of the present amendment. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner favorably reconsider and allow the pending claims.

Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-15, 19-22 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,330,577 to Kim (“Kim”) in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,687,879 to Teshima (“Teshima”) and U.S. Pat. No. 5,586,242 to McQueen (“McQueen”). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection based on the following.

Amended independent claim 1 recites, *inter alia*,

“providing a preview format selection option in the font preview display area, wherein the preview format selection option allows switching between a plurality of preview formats in which the text is displayed, the plurality of preview formats including the paragraph display preview format and the waterfall display preview format.”

Nowhere do any of the cited references, either separately or in combination, teach or suggest such features. While each of Kim and Teshima describe font previewing, there is no teaching or suggestion in either reference of providing a preview format selection option that allows switching between preview formats such as a paragraph display preview format and a waterfall preview format. For example, nowhere does Teshima teach or suggest an option in the font selection window that allows switching between preview formats in which text of previews A61 and A62 are displayed. In fact, and as discussed in further detail below, nowhere does Kim or Teshima teach or suggest either a paragraph display preview format or a waterfall display preview format. McQueen fails to cure these deficiencies. Thus, notwithstanding whether the asserted combination of Kim, Teshima and McQueen is valid, the asserted combination does not result in the features of claim 1. Accordingly, claim 1 is allowable for at least these reasons.

Claim 1 further recites, *inter alia*, “the text displayed in the first font format and the second font format is displayed in a paragraph display preview format or waterfall display preview format, wherein the paragraph display preview format includes displaying the text in a multi-line paragraph.” Contrary to the assertions of the Office Action, McQueen does not teach or suggest such a feature. The Office Action states, at p. 8, that McQueen teaches a font preview display area of sufficient size to display a paragraph. However, merely describing an area that is large enough to display a paragraph does not constitute a teaching or suggestion of previewing text in a paragraph format. The font preview display area 168 of FIG. 9 in McQueen merely illustrates multiple lines of alphanumeric characters displayed in a selected font. There is no teaching or suggestion in McQueen that these series of lines comprise a paragraph. In fact, the Office Action concedes at p. 8 that McQueen does not teach displaying a paragraph of text in a first or second font format. If the Office Action is asserting Official Notice of previewing text in a multi-line paragraph format, Applicant respectfully traverses this taking of Official Notice and requests evidence supporting such an assertion if the taking of Official Notice is maintained. Claim 1 is thus allowable for this additional reason.

Claim 9 recites features similar to those discussed above with respect to claim 1 and is thus allowable for substantially the same reasons as claim 1.

Claims 3-6 and 8 and claims 10-15, 19-22 and 24 are dependent on claims 1 and 9, respectively, and are thus allowable for at least the same reasons as their respective base claims and further in view of the novel and non-obvious features recited therein.

New Claims

Claims 25-27 have been added. No new matter has been added. Support for the features recited in the newly added claims may be found throughout the originally filed specification.

Claims 25-27 are dependent on claim 1 and are thus allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 1 and further in view of the novel and non-obvious features recited therein. For example, claim 25 recites, *inter alia*, “wherein the text is displayed in the first font format and the second font format in a waterfall display format configured to display the text in a plurality

of predefined font sizes concurrently for each of the first and second font formats.” None of the cited references teaches or suggests such features. The Office Action points to FIG. 8 of Kim as describing a plurality of font sizes. Nonetheless, Kim still does not teach or suggest the concurrent display of text in a plurality of font sizes. Teshima and McQueen do not cure this deficiency of Kim. Accordingly, claim 25 is allowable for this additional reason.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant submits that he has overcome the Examiner’s rejections and that the present application is in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned to discuss any matter concerning this application.

Applicant does not otherwise concede, however, the correctness of the Office Action’s rejection with respect to any of the dependent claims discussed above. Accordingly, Applicant hereby reserves the right to make additional arguments as may be necessary to further distinguish the dependent claims from the cited references, taken alone or in combination, based on additional features contained in the dependent claims that were not discussed above.

Applicant respectfully requests further examination on the merits of this application.

Please charge all fees in connection with this communication to Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

By: /Chunhsi Andy Mu/
Chunhsi Andy Mu
Registration No. 58,216

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
1100 13th Street, N.W., Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 824-3000

Dated: January 15, 2009